ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

Publicado en Actualidad Jurídica Ambiental el 9 de enero de 2026

A CRITICAL LEGAL STUDY ON THE GROWTH OF GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE IN INDIA WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE INDIAN STATES OF MAHARASHTRA AND KERALA

UN ESTUDIO JURÍDICO CRÍTICO SOBRE EL CRECIMIENTO DE LA INFRAESTRUCTURA VERDE EN LA INDIA, CON ESPECIAL REFERENCIA A LOS ESTADOS INDIOS DE MAHARASHTRA Y KERALA

Autor: Mr. Prashant Kumar Tiwari, PhD Scholar - Gujarat National Law University, Gandhinagar, India

Autor: Dr. Jagadeesh Chandra TG, Assistant Professor of Law and Former Registrar (I/c), Gujarat National Law University, Gandhinagar, India

Fecha de recepción: 23/10/2025

Fecha de aceptación: 29/10/2025

Fecha de modificación: 17/11/2025

DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

Abstract:

The growth of green infrastructure in India is increasingly driven by environmental sustainability goals, urbanization challenges, and climate resilience needs. However, the legal and regulatory framework governing green infrastructure remains complex, evolving, and often fragmented. This study critically examines the legal landscape shaping green infrastructure development in India, assessing policy effectiveness, regulatory challenges, and enforcement mechanisms. Key legislations, including the Environment Protection Act (1986), the National Green Tribunal Act (2010), and policies under the Smart Cities Mission, provide a foundation for green infrastructure initiatives. Additionally, climate commitments under international frameworks, such as the

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

Paris Agreement, influence India's legal approach to sustainable urban planning. However, gaps in implementation, jurisdictional overlaps, and regulatory inconsistencies hinder progress. Land acquisition laws, environmental clearances, and public-private partnership (PPP) models also play a crucial role in green infrastructure expansion. Legal disputes over environmental impact assessments (EIAs), inadequate enforcement of sustainability standards, and challenges in balancing development with conservation highlight systemic weaknesses in the current framework. Moreover, judicial interventions by the National Green Tribunal (NGT) and Supreme Court have significantly shaped green infrastructure policies, setting legal precedents for sustainable urban growth.

This study explores the role of corporate governance in financing green infrastructure, particularly through green bonds, ESG investments, and sustainable finance mechanisms. Legal challenges surrounding compliance, accountability, and corporate responsibility further complicate large-scale green infrastructure projects. By critically analyzing legal frameworks, policy gaps, and enforcement challenges, this research highlights the need for stronger regulatory coherence, stakeholder engagement, and judicial oversight. Strengthening legal mechanisms, streamlining regulations, and integrating sustainability into urban planning laws are essential for fostering resilient and inclusive green infrastructure in India. This study underscores the necessity of legal reforms to ensure sustainable, equitable, and legally sound infrastructure development.

Resumen:

El crecimiento de la infraestructura verde en India está cada vez más impulsado por los objetivos de sostenibilidad ambiental, los desafíos de la urbanización y las necesidades de resiliencia climática. Sin embargo, el marco legal y regulatorio que rige la infraestructura verde sigue siendo complejo, cambiante y, a menudo, fragmentado. Este estudio examina críticamente el panorama legal que configura el desarrollo de la infraestructura verde en India, evaluando la eficacia de las políticas, los desafíos regulatorios y los mecanismos de aplicación. Legislaciones clave, como la Ley de Protección Ambiental (1986), la Ley del Tribunal Verde Nacional (2010) y las políticas de la Misión de Ciudades Inteligentes, sientan las bases para las iniciativas de infraestructura verde. Además, los compromisos climáticos asumidos en el marco internacional, como el Acuerdo de París, influyen en el enfoque legal de India para la planificación urbana sostenible. Sin embargo, las deficiencias en la implementación, las superposiciones jurisdiccionales y las inconsistencias regulatorias obstaculizan el progreso. Las leyes de adquisición de tierras, las autorizaciones ambientales y los modelos de asociación público-privada (APP)

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

también desempeñan un papel crucial en la expansión de la infraestructura verde. Las disputas legales sobre las evaluaciones de impacto ambiental (EIA), la aplicación inadecuada de las normas de sostenibilidad y los desafíos para equilibrar el desarrollo con la conservación ponen de relieve las debilidades sistémicas del marco actual. Además, las intervenciones judiciales del Tribunal Nacional Verde (NGT) y la Corte Suprema han moldeado significativamente las políticas de infraestructura verde, sentando precedentes legales para el crecimiento urbano sostenible.

Este estudio explora el papel del gobierno corporativo en la financiación de infraestructura verde, en particular a través de bonos verdes, inversiones ESG y mecanismos de financiación sostenible. Los desafíos legales en torno al cumplimiento normativo, la rendición de cuentas y la responsabilidad corporativa complican aún más los proyectos de infraestructura verde a gran escala. Mediante un análisis crítico de los marcos legales, las lagunas políticas y los desafíos de aplicación, esta investigación destaca la necesidad de una mayor coherencia regulatoria, la participación de las partes interesadas y la supervisión judicial. El fortalecimiento de los mecanismos legales, la simplificación de las regulaciones y la integración de la sostenibilidad en las leyes de planificación urbana son esenciales para fomentar una infraestructura verde resiliente e inclusiva en la India. Este estudio subraya la necesidad de reformas legales para garantizar un desarrollo de infraestructura sostenible, equitativo y jurídicamente sólido.

Keywords: Green Infrastructure. Environmental Sustainability. Legal Framework. Regulatory Challenges. Policy Gaps. Urban Planning. Environmental Impact Assessment. National Green Tribunal. Sustainable Finance. Corporate Governance.

Palabras clave: Infraestructura verde. Sostenibilidad ambiental. Marco legal. Desafíos regulatorios. Lagunas políticas. Planificación urbana. Evaluación de Impacto Ambiental. Tribunal Verde Nacional. Finanzas sostenibles. Gobernanza corporativa.

Table of Contents:

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

Tabla de contenido:

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

1. INTRODUCTION

Green infrastructure (GI) refers to a network of natural and semi-natural spaces designed to deliver ecosystem services and enhance environmental sustainability. In the context of India, Green Infrastructure encompasses urban forests, green belts, wetlands, parks, rooftop gardens, and sustainable drainage systems (Dasgupta 2020). With rapid urbanization and environmental degradation, the adoption of Green Infrastructure has become essential to combat pollution, mitigate the effects of climate change, and promote sustainable urban development (MoEFCC 2019).

The primary objectives of this study are to analyze the historical development of green infrastructure laws in India, evaluate the effectiveness of the current

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

legal frameworks, identify challenges in implementation, compare India's Green Infrastructure laws with global best practices, and recommend strategies for strengthening Green Infrastructure regulations. This paper will provide a comprehensive analysis, supported by case studies, technological insights, and legal recommendations. This paper adopts a qualitative doctrinal research method, supported by primary legal sources, national policy documents, and judicial decisions, to examine how India's international commitments on Green Infrastructure translate into sub-national implementation. To ground this analysis in concrete institutional realities, the study focuses on two state-level case studies Maharashtra and Kerala selected through purposive sampling. Maharashtra represents a rapidly urbanising state where ecological degradation intersects with weak statutory recognition of Green Infrastructure, making it an ideal site for assessing failures in urban planning and regulatory enforcement. Kerala, by contrast, offers a climate-sensitive landscape where recurrent floods and the presence of extensive wetlands highlight the consequences of inadequate integration of natural systems into planning frameworks despite dedicated legislation. Together, these states capture two distinct but complementary dimensions of India's implementation gap, i.e. Maharashtra illustrates urban development-driven ecological conflict, while Kerala reflects disaster-risk vulnerability arising from insufficient operationalisation of national and international Green Infrastructure commitments.

2. HISTORICAL OVERVIEW OF GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE POLICIES IN INDIA

The evolution of green infrastructure policies in India has been a gradual yet transformative process, shaped by a series of environmental protection laws, policies, and initiatives. This progression reflects the growing awareness of the need to balance rapid urbanization with ecological sustainability.

Pre-1990 Era: Laying the Legal Foundation

Before the 1990s, India's legal framework for green infrastructure was relatively limited, primarily focusing on forest conservation and pollution control. The Forest Conservation Act of 1980 was a landmark legislation aimed at curbing deforestation and promoting afforestation. It introduced stricter regulations on forest land diversion for non-forest purposes, helping to preserve existing green spaces (Singh 2018).

During this period, other environmental laws indirectly influenced Green Infrastructure preservation by addressing pollution control. The Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, and the Air (Prevention and

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

Control of Pollution) Act, 1981, sought to regulate industrial pollution, which inadvertently contributed to protecting green belts around industrial zones (Chandra 2021). These acts promoted the creation of buffer zones with vegetation to mitigate air and water pollution, thereby fostering early forms of Green Infrastructure integration.

Post-1990: Emergence of Green Infrastructure-Specific Policies

The post-1990 period witnessed a more direct and structured approach to Green Infrastructure, with several key policies introduced to address environmental sustainability. The Environment Protection Act of 1986 played a pivotal role by establishing a comprehensive legal framework to regulate environmental quality standards. This act empowered the government to take measures for the protection and improvement of the environment, paving the way for the integration of Green Infrastructure considerations into broader urban and industrial planning strategies (Rao 2017).

In 1988, the National Forest Policy marked a significant shift toward afforestation, social forestry, and biodiversity conservation. It emphasized sustainable forest management and the preservation of ecological balance, highlighting the importance of forests in maintaining soil and water resources (MoEFCC 2014).

The early 2000s saw the introduction of climate action-focused policies. The National Action Plan on Climate Change (NAPCC), launched in 2008, introduced a series of missions aimed at mitigating and adapting to climate change. One of its key components, the Green India Mission (GIM), specifically targeted forest cover enhancement, ecosystem services improvement, and biodiversity conservation. Green InfrastructureM aimed to increase tree cover on five million hectares of degraded land and improve ecosystem services in forested areas, thus directly promoting large-scale Green Infrastructure development (GoI 2010).

Recent Years: State-Level and Urban Green Infrastructure Initiatives

In recent years, state-level initiatives have gained momentum, demonstrating a localized commitment to Green Infrastructure development. States such as Maharashtra and Kerala have introduced progressive policies to promote urban greening.

Maharashtra's Urban Forestry Policy focuses on creating green corridors, promoting large-scale tree plantation drives, and integrating green infrastructure into urban planning frameworks. Similarly, Kerala's Green Policy

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

emphasizes eco-restoration, the preservation of mangroves, and the creation of urban green spaces. These initiatives also prioritize public participation and corporate involvement in afforestation and greening efforts, making them more inclusive and sustainable (Sharma 2021).

Other states, including Delhi and Karnataka, have also implemented city-level Green Infrastructure projects, such as rooftop gardens, vertical forests, and the development of green belts around transportation corridors. These initiatives reflect a growing recognition of Green Infrastructure as an essential component of climate resilience and urban sustainability.

Toward a Greener Future

The evolution of Green Infrastructure policies in India demonstrates a steady progression from basic forest conservation laws to comprehensive, multi-sectoral strategies aimed at promoting sustainable development. While early policies focused on pollution control and forest preservation, the post-1990 era introduced more targeted and large-scale Green Infrastructure initiatives. In recent years, state-level and city-specific policies have further strengthened the framework, encouraging localized and participatory approaches to green infrastructure. As India continues to grapple with the challenges of urbanization and climate change, the continued expansion and enforcement of Green Infrastructure policies will be vital in fostering environmentally sustainable and resilient cities.

3. KEY GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE LAWS IN INDIA

Legislative Frameworks Governing Green Infrastructure in India

India's green infrastructure (GI) policies are shaped by a comprehensive legal framework comprising national-level legislation, regulatory mechanisms, and state-level initiatives. These frameworks aim to promote environmental sustainability, conserve biodiversity, and enhance green spaces, while balancing development needs with ecological preservation.

The Environment Protection Act, 1986: A Foundation for Green Infrastructure Promotion

The Environment Protection Act (EPA), 1986, is one of India's most significant environmental laws, providing the central government with broad authority to take measures for protecting and improving the environment. Under this act, the government can regulate industrial pollution, establish

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

environmental quality standards, and promote Green Infrastructure projects as part of its sustainability initiatives (Sahu 2019). The EPA also empowers the government to take preventive measures against activities that may lead to ecological degradation, indirectly fostering the development of green belts, eco-sensitive zones, and afforestation projects. Additionally, it has been used as a legal basis to promote urban greening efforts, including the regulation of construction practices to preserve green cover.

The National Green Tribunal Act, 2010: Strengthening Legal Enforcement

The National Green Tribunal (NGT) Act, 2010, established the NGT as a specialized judicial body to handle cases related to environmental protection, including Green Infrastructure-related litigation. The NGT has played a crucial role in enforcing Green Infrastructure policies by addressing issues such as illegal deforestation, encroachment on green spaces, and improper implementation of compensatory afforestation programs (Sharma 2020). The tribunal has also passed landmark judgments mandating the restoration of degraded ecosystems, stricter regulation of industrial pollution, and the preservation of urban green spaces. By providing an expedited mechanism for resolving environmental disputes, the NGT has enhanced the legal enforcement of Green Infrastructure regulations, holding both government authorities and private entities accountable for environmental violations.

The Biodiversity Act, 2002: Protecting Ecological Corridors

The Biodiversity Act, 2002, focuses on the conservation of biological diversity, the sustainable use of its components, and the fair and equitable sharing of benefits arising from the use of biological resources. Although not directly aimed at Green Infrastructure, the act indirectly promotes its preservation by protecting biodiversity-rich areas, including forests, wetlands, and eco-sensitive zones, which are integral to green infrastructure networks (Kumar 2016). The act also mandates the formation of Biodiversity Management Committees (BMCs) at local levels, which play a role in preserving natural ecosystems and green spaces, thus contributing to the broader Green Infrastructure framework.

The Forest Rights Act, 2006: Balancing Conservation with Livelihoods

The Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006, recognizes the traditional rights of forest-dwelling communities while promoting conservation objectives. It grants these communities legal ownership and usage rights over forest lands, empowering them to manage and protect their local green spaces. The FRA promotes sustainable forest management practices and community-led afforestation,

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

thereby indirectly strengthening Green Infrastructure conservation efforts (Bose 2015). By involving indigenous and local communities in forest preservation, the act supports the maintenance of biodiversity corridors and green buffer zones.

The Compensatory Afforestation Fund Act, 2016: Expanding Green Spaces

The Compensatory Afforestation Fund Act (CAMPA), 2016, mandates that any diversion of forest land for non-forest purposes must be compensated through afforestation on an equivalent area of non-forest land or by improving degraded forests. This legislation has significantly contributed to the expansion of green spaces across the country by ensuring that forest loss due to industrial or infrastructure projects is offset through afforestation (Mehta 2018). The funds collected under this act are used for afforestation, forest conservation, and ecosystem restoration projects, directly promoting green infrastructure development.

State-Level Initiatives: Strengthening Local Green Infrastructure Governance

In addition to national legislation, several states have introduced their own policies and acts to preserve and enhance Green Infrastructure. For example, Karnataka's Tree Preservation Act focuses on protecting and conserving existing tree cover in urban areas. This act regulates the felling of trees and mandates compensatory plantation for every tree removed, thus preventing rapid depletion of urban greenery (Ramesh 2020). Similarly, states like Maharashtra and Tamil Nadu have launched urban forestry policies, encouraging the creation of green corridors, urban forests, and city-level afforestation programs.

Other states, such as Delhi and Telangana, have introduced policies promoting rooftop gardens, vertical forests, and greening of public spaces as part of their urban sustainability plans. These localized efforts play a vital role in supplementing national Green Infrastructure regulations and ensuring region-specific environmental preservation.

A Holistic Legal Framework for Green Infrastructure Development

India's green infrastructure is governed by a multi-layered legal framework, comprising national-level environmental protection acts, specialized tribunals, and state-specific policies. While the Environment Protection Act, 1986, and the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010, provide the legal foundation for Green

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

Infrastructure regulation and enforcement, newer laws such as the Compensatory Afforestation Fund Act, 2016, actively promote afforestation and green space expansion. State-level policies further strengthen the implementation of Green Infrastructure regulations, ensuring that green infrastructure is preserved and expanded across both urban and rural areas. Moving forward, improved enforcement, better coordination between government agencies, and public participation will be critical in ensuring the effective implementation of these legislative frameworks, fostering a greener and more sustainable India.

4. IMPACT ASSESSMENT OF GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE LAWS

The Impact of Green Infrastructure (GI) Laws in India: Environmental, Social, and Legal Dimensions

The impact of Green Infrastructure laws in India can be analyzed through their environmental, social, and legal effects, reflecting their significance in promoting sustainability, public well-being, and regulatory enforcement. While these laws have made notable contributions to environmental conservation and public health, challenges remain in their implementation and monitoring.

Environmental Impact: Enhancing Sustainability and Resilience

GI laws have played a critical role in improving environmental quality and fostering ecological resilience. One of the most significant environmental benefits has been the reduction of air pollution. The creation of green belts around industrial areas, mandated under pollution control regulations, has helped lower the concentration of airborne pollutants by acting as natural filters (Pandey 2019). Vegetation barriers absorb particulate matter and reduce the dispersion of harmful gases, contributing to better air quality in urban and industrial zones.

Moreover, Green Infrastructure regulations have been instrumental in mitigating the urban heat island (UHI) effect, a major concern in rapidly expanding cities. The promotion of rooftop gardens, urban forests, and green corridors under various state-level policies has helped lower surface temperatures and create cooler microclimates, improving thermal comfort for residents. Studies have shown that areas with well-planned Green Infrastructure experience significantly lower temperatures compared to surrounding concrete-dominated neighborhoods (Pandey 2019).

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

GI laws have also contributed to biodiversity conservation. The preservation of forests, wetlands, and mangroves—protected under the Forest Conservation Act, 1980, and the Biodiversity Act, 2002—has safeguarded vital habitats for flora and fauna. The protection of mangrove ecosystems, in particular, has proven effective in mitigating coastal erosion and reducing the impact of storm surges and flooding in vulnerable coastal areas (Jain 2020). Additionally, afforestation and reforestation initiatives mandated by the Compensatory Afforestation Fund Act, 2016, have helped restore degraded ecosystems and expand forest cover, contributing to carbon sequestration and climate resilience.

Social Impact: Improving Public Health and Community Well-being

GI laws have also had significant social benefits, particularly in promoting public health and enhancing the quality of life. The expansion of green spaces in urban areas has contributed to reducing pollution-related illnesses, including respiratory and cardiovascular conditions. Cleaner air resulting from Green Infrastructure interventions has been linked to lower rates of asthma, chronic bronchitis, and other respiratory issues, especially in highly polluted cities (Verma 2017).

Beyond physical health, access to green spaces has been associated with improved mental well-being. Parks, urban forests, and community gardens provide recreational opportunities, reduce stress, and promote relaxation, leading to better psychological health. Studies have shown that individuals living in areas with abundant greenery report lower levels of anxiety and depression, highlighting the positive impact of Green Infrastructure on mental health (Verma 2017).

GI laws have also fostered community engagement and social cohesion. Public participation in afforestation drives, urban gardening projects, and biodiversity conservation initiatives has strengthened social bonds and raised environmental awareness. Involvement in Green Infrastructure projects has empowered local communities, fostering a sense of ownership and responsibility for preserving their local environment (Chakraborty 2021). Furthermore, community-led initiatives, such as neighborhood greening programs, have created platforms for civic engagement, promoting collaboration between citizens and local authorities.

Legal Impact: Strengthening Environmental Governance

From a legal perspective, the National Green Tribunal (NGT) has emerged as a key player in enforcing Green Infrastructure regulations and addressing

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

environmental violations. The NGT has been instrumental in holding both public and private entities accountable for non-compliance with Green Infrastructure-related laws. It has issued several landmark judgments mandating the restoration of encroached green spaces, regulating construction activities that threaten urban greenery, and ensuring proper implementation of compensatory afforestation programs (Gupta 2018).

However, despite these legal advancements, gaps in enforcement and monitoring persist. Resource constraints, lack of technical expertise, and bureaucratic inefficiencies often hinder the effective implementation of Green Infrastructure regulations. For instance, while compensatory afforestation is mandated by law, its execution is frequently delayed, and the quality of afforestation efforts is not consistently monitored. Reports have highlighted cases where funds allocated for afforestation were underutilized or mismanaged, weakening the intended impact of the legislation (Mishra 2016).

Moreover, inconsistent enforcement across states further reduces the effectiveness of Green Infrastructure laws. While some states, such as Maharashtra and Karnataka, have made significant progress in promoting urban Green Infrastructure initiatives, others lag behind due to weak institutional capacity and lack of political will. This disparity highlights the need for stronger oversight mechanisms and uniform implementation standards.

Challenges and the Way Forward

While Green Infrastructure laws have delivered tangible environmental and social benefits, their full potential is often limited by implementation challenges. Inconsistent enforcement, insufficient funding, and a lack of inter-agency coordination continue to impede the effectiveness of Green Infrastructure policies. Strengthening monitoring mechanisms, increasing public participation, and promoting private sector involvement through Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) initiatives could enhance the impact of Green Infrastructure laws.

Additionally, incorporating nature-based solutions (NbS) into existing legal frameworks can strengthen India's Green Infrastructure policies. For example, promoting permeable pavements, rain gardens, and green roofs in urban areas can further mitigate urban flooding and reduce heat stress. Enhancing the legal accountability of industries and real estate developers for green space preservation could also ensure better compliance with Green Infrastructure regulations.

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

Multi-Dimensional Impact with Scope for Improvement

India's Green Infrastructure laws have made notable strides in enhancing environmental sustainability, promoting public health, and strengthening legal enforcement. The reduction in pollution, mitigation of the UHI effect, and conservation of biodiversity are clear indicators of the environmental benefits of these laws. Socially, improved access to green spaces has boosted community well-being and public health. On the legal front, the NGT's proactive stance has improved compliance, although enforcement challenges remain. Moving forward, stronger policy implementation, better coordination, and public participation will be essential in maximizing the impact of India's Green Infrastructure laws, ensuring that the country continues to build resilient and sustainable urban and rural landscapes.

5. LEGAL AND INSTITUTIONAL CHALLENGES

The implementation of Green Infrastructure laws in India faces several challenges. The fragmented legal framework results in overlapping jurisdictions, leading to inefficiencies (Ghosh 2019). Enforcement issues, such as insufficient monitoring mechanisms and lack of accountability, hinder the effectiveness of existing regulations (Patel 2020).

Public participation in Green Infrastructure planning and decision-making remains limited, reducing the effectiveness of community-based initiatives (Narayan 2017). Financial constraints and inadequate resource allocation further impede the scaling up of Green Infrastructure projects (Mukherjee 2021). Addressing these challenges requires stronger legal coherence, improved enforcement mechanisms, and increased public involvement.

6. COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS WITH GLOBAL BEST PRACTICES

India's green infrastructure policies can be effectively compared with global best practices, offering valuable insights into how different countries are leveraging Green Infrastructure for sustainable urban development, climate resilience, and biodiversity conservation. By examining successful international models, India can identify strategies to enhance its Green Infrastructure framework, including better policy integration, financial incentives, and increased community involvement.

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

The United States: Green Infrastructure for Stormwater Management and Pollution Control

In the United States, Green Infrastructure plays a central role in stormwater management and pollution control, with a strong legal and financial framework supporting its implementation. The Clean Water Act (CWA) is the primary legislation promoting Green Infrastructure through stormwater management regulations, requiring municipalities to adopt nature-based solutions to control runoff and reduce water pollution (US EPA 2019).

One of the key initiatives in the U.S. is the Green Infrastructure Grants Program, managed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). This program offers financial incentives to municipalities, private developers, and community organizations to implement Green Infrastructure projects such as rain gardens, bioswales, permeable pavements, and green roofs. The grants aim to reduce combined sewer overflows (CSOs), improve water quality, and enhance urban resilience.

- Key Takeaway for India: The U.S. model demonstrates the effectiveness of dedicated financial incentives and regulatory frameworks for promoting large-scale Green Infrastructure adoption. India can benefit by introducing targeted grants and subsidies to encourage public and private sector investments in Green Infrastructure, particularly for stormwater management and pollution control in urban areas.

The European Union: Green Infrastructure for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services

The European Union (EU) has been a global leader in integrating Green Infrastructure into urban planning and biodiversity conservation policies. In 2013, the EU introduced the Green Infrastructure Strategy, which promotes the preservation of natural ecosystems, biodiversity corridors, and ecosystem services as part of sustainable development goals (EU Commission 2013).

The EU's approach emphasizes mainstreaming Green Infrastructure into urban planning, ensuring that green corridors, public parks, and ecological networks are integrated into new infrastructure projects. Member states receive financial support through the EU Cohesion Fund and the European Regional Development Fund (ERDF), which offer grants for Green Infrastructure projects focused on climate adaptation, habitat restoration, and flood management.

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

Countries such as Germany and the Netherlands have implemented innovative Green Infrastructure solutions. In Berlin, the "Biotope Area Factor" policy mandates that a minimum percentage of new developments include Green Infrastructure features, such as green roofs, vertical gardens, or permeable surfaces. Similarly, Amsterdam's Rainproof Program promotes household-level Green Infrastructure interventions, including rain gardens and green roofs, to reduce urban flooding risks.

- Key Takeaway for India: The EU model highlights the importance of integrating Green Infrastructure into national and local urban planning frameworks. India can adopt a similar approach by making Green Infrastructure mandatory in building codes and infrastructure projects, while providing financial incentives to developers for incorporating nature-based solutions. Additionally, creating biodiversity corridors and ecological networks can strengthen Green Infrastructure's role in promoting biodiversity conservation.

Singapore: Financial Incentives for Rooftop and Vertical Gardens

Singapore is globally recognized for its innovative and comprehensive Green Infrastructure policies, which prioritize urban greening and vertical Green Infrastructure solutions. The Skyrise Greenery Incentive Scheme (SGIS), introduced by the National Parks Board (NParks), offers financial incentives covering up to 50% of the installation costs for rooftop gardens and vertical green walls on existing buildings (Tan 2020). The scheme aims to combat the urban heat island (UHI) effect, enhance urban aesthetics, and improve air quality.

Singapore's "City in a Garden" initiative further promotes Green Infrastructure by integrating nature-based solutions into urban planning. The city-state has developed extensive park connectors, green corridors, and waterfront promenades, creating a continuous network of green spaces that promotes biodiversity and offers recreational opportunities for residents.

The success of Singapore's Green Infrastructure policies lies in its multi-stakeholder approach, which involves the government, private sector, and citizens. The Active, Beautiful, and Clean (ABC) Waters Program encourages community involvement in maintaining and beautifying Green Infrastructure projects, fostering a sense of ownership and responsibility.

- Key Takeaway for India: Singapore's policies demonstrate the effectiveness of financial incentives and community participation in promoting urban Green Infrastructure adoption. India can replicate this

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

model by offering tax rebates, subsidies, or grants for rooftop and vertical gardens, especially in dense urban areas. Encouraging public-private partnerships (PPPs) can further accelerate Green Infrastructure adoption and maintenance.

Australia: Green Infrastructure for Water Management and Climate Resilience

Australia has effectively used Green Infrastructure to address water management and urban resilience challenges. The Water-Sensitive Urban Design (WSUD) policy integrates Green Infrastructure into stormwater management, flood control, and water conservation strategies. This approach mandates the inclusion of rain gardens, vegetated swales, and constructed wetlands in new developments to reduce runoff and improve water quality.

Cities such as Melbourne and Sydney have successfully implemented Green Infrastructure-based water management projects, reducing the impact of flash floods and enhancing local biodiversity. The City of Melbourne's Urban Forest Strategy promotes tree planting and green canopy expansion, aiming to increase the city's tree cover by 40% by 2040. This initiative reduces urban heat, improves air quality, and creates ecological corridors.

- Key Takeaway for India: Australia's Green Infrastructure model highlights the potential of integrating Green Infrastructure into water-sensitive urban design. India can incorporate Green Infrastructure-based stormwater management solutions into its urban planning frameworks to combat waterlogging and improve water conservation. Promoting urban forestry programs with clear canopy targets can further enhance resilience to heat and pollution.

Canada: Green Infrastructure for Climate Adaptation and Resilience

Canada has adopted nature-based solutions (NbS) as part of its climate adaptation policies, integrating Green Infrastructure into urban development and flood management strategies. The Green Municipal Fund (GMF) provides financial support to municipalities for implementing Green Infrastructure projects, including permeable pavements, green roofs, and living walls.

In Toronto, the Eco-Roof Incentive Program offers grants to property owners for installing green roofs, which reduce stormwater runoff, improve insulation, and lower energy costs. Similarly, the City of Vancouver's Rain City Strategy promotes Green Infrastructure-based rainwater management systems,

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

enhancing urban resilience to heavy rainfall and reducing the burden on drainage infrastructure.

- Key Takeaway for India: Canada's Green Infrastructure policies emphasize financial incentives and NbS for urban climate adaptation. India can introduce municipal-level grants and incentives to promote Green Infrastructure projects, particularly for flood-prone and heat-vulnerable areas.

7. CASE STUDIES OF PROJECTS IN INDIA

Green Infrastructure Projects in India: Demonstrating Policy Effectiveness

Several Green Infrastructure projects across India exemplify the effectiveness of existing policies in promoting environmental sustainability, mitigating pollution, enhancing biodiversity, and strengthening climate resilience. These initiatives showcase how strategic implementation of Green Infrastructure regulations can deliver tangible environmental, social, and economic benefits, while also highlighting the need for scaling up such efforts to address broader sustainability challenges.

Delhi's Green Belt Initiative: Combating Air Pollution and Enhancing Urban Greenery

Delhi's Green Belt Initiative is a prime example of how Green Infrastructure policies can address urban air pollution and heat stress. Launched as part of the city's pollution control strategy, the project focuses on creating green belts around industrial zones and along major roadways to act as natural pollution buffers (Gupta 2015). The initiative involves large-scale plantation drives using native tree species known for their air-purifying properties, such as neem, peepal, and amaltas, which effectively absorb pollutants like nitrogen dioxide (NO_2) and particulate matter (PM).

The positive environmental impacts of the Green Belt Initiative have been significant. Studies have shown that areas with extensive green belts experience lower levels of air pollution, particularly in industrial zones where tree cover acts as a natural filter. Additionally, the presence of green belts has contributed to reducing the urban heat island (UHI) effect, lowering ambient temperatures and creating cooler microclimates in densely populated areas. The project has also enhanced the city's aesthetic appeal and improved the quality of life for residents by expanding recreational green spaces.

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

Mumbai's Mangrove Conservation: Protecting Coastal Ecosystems

In Mumbai, mangrove conservation efforts have demonstrated the effectiveness of Green Infrastructure in preventing coastal erosion, mitigating flood risks, and promoting biodiversity. Under the Coastal Regulation Zone (CRZ) Notification and the Environment Protection Act, 1986, mangroves have been granted legal protection, preventing their destruction for real estate and infrastructure development (Shetty 2018). The Bombay High Court's ruling in 2018 reinforced the legal status of mangroves as vital Green Infrastructure, mandating that they be treated as public property and protected from encroachment or degradation.

The conservation of mangroves has yielded multiple environmental benefits. By acting as natural buffers, mangroves reduce the impact of storm surges and high tides, protecting vulnerable coastal communities from flooding and erosion. Additionally, these ecosystems enhance biodiversity by providing habitats for a variety of bird, fish, and plant species. Mumbai's mangrove conservation efforts have also helped in carbon sequestration, as mangroves store significant amounts of carbon, contributing to climate change mitigation.

Chennai's Stormwater Management Project: Mitigating Urban Flooding

The Stormwater Management Project in Chennai is a noteworthy example of how Green Infrastructure can be integrated into urban water management systems. To address the city's recurring urban flooding issues, the project incorporates nature-based solutions such as rain gardens, bioswales, and green infiltration zones to improve stormwater absorption and reduce surface runoff (Ramesh 2020).

Rain gardens, which consist of planted depressions with native vegetation, capture and filter rainwater, preventing it from overwhelming the city's drainage system. Bioswales, linear channels with sloped sides, slow down the flow of stormwater, allowing it to infiltrate into the soil gradually. This system reduces the risk of flash flooding during heavy rainfall and replenishes groundwater levels.

The project has had measurable success in mitigating flooding in vulnerable neighborhoods. By promoting natural water infiltration, it has reduced waterlogging and the strain on Chennai's stormwater infrastructure. Moreover, the project has enhanced urban biodiversity by creating green corridors along water channels, providing habitats for native flora and fauna.

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

Pune's Urban Forestry Project: Promoting Sustainable Urban Development

Pune's Urban Forestry Project, initiated under the Smart Cities Mission, focuses on developing urban forests and expanding green cover to enhance the city's resilience to pollution and heat stress. The project involves the plantation of native tree species on vacant public lands, road medians, and open spaces. It also integrates citizen participation through plantation drives and community-based maintenance programs, fostering public ownership of green spaces.

The project has yielded environmental and social benefits. The increase in tree cover has helped lower ambient temperatures and improve air quality in congested areas. Additionally, the project has created recreational green spaces, promoting public well-being and mental health. The involvement of local communities in maintaining urban forests has strengthened environmental awareness and social cohesion.

Hyderabad's Lake Revitalization Project: Restoring Ecosystem Health

The Lake Revitalization Project in Hyderabad showcases how Green Infrastructure initiatives can restore degraded water bodies and enhance ecosystem resilience. To combat water pollution and loss of urban lakes, the project focuses on desilting, restoring riparian vegetation, and creating green buffer zones around lakes.

The project has resulted in improved water quality and increased biodiversity. The restored lakes serve as natural flood buffers, reducing the impact of seasonal flooding by absorbing excess rainwater. Additionally, the creation of green corridors along the lake perimeters has provided new recreational spaces for the public and enhanced the city's ecological aesthetics.

Bengaluru's Green Roof and Vertical Garden Initiative: Enhancing Urban Sustainability

To combat rapid urbanization and its associated environmental challenges, Bengaluru has promoted the adoption of green roofs and vertical gardens as part of its Green Infrastructure strategy. This initiative encourages property owners and developers to install vegetation on rooftops and building facades to reduce the urban heat island effect and improve air quality.

The project has demonstrated tangible environmental benefits, including temperature regulation in densely built-up areas, reduction of energy consumption through natural insulation, and improved air quality due to the

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

absorption of pollutants. Additionally, green roofs and vertical gardens enhance aesthetic and promote biodiversity by providing habitats for small birds and pollinators.

The Need for Scaling Up Green Infrastructure Projects

While these case studies highlight the positive impact of well-implemented Green Infrastructure projects, they also underscore the need for scaling up such initiatives to address larger environmental challenges. Expanding Green Infrastructure interventions across cities and rural areas can enhance climate resilience, promote biodiversity conservation, and improve public health outcomes. Additionally, policy reforms aimed at incentivizing private sector participation, increasing public awareness, and integrating Green Infrastructure into urban planning frameworks will be essential in ensuring the long-term success and sustainability of these projects.

A Model for Sustainable Development

India's Green Infrastructure projects demonstrate the effectiveness of existing policies in addressing environmental challenges and promoting sustainable urban development. From reducing air pollution and preventing coastal erosion to managing urban flooding and enhancing biodiversity, these initiatives showcase the multi-dimensional benefits of Green Infrastructure. Scaling up and replicating such projects across the country will be essential in building climate-resilient, livable, and environmentally sustainable cities.

8. STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS OF STATE AND URBAN POLICY INITIATIVES IN THE INDIAN STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND KERALA

State of Maharashtra

Maharashtra provides one of the most compelling examples of the disconnect between India's international and national commitments to Green Infrastructure and the manner in which these commitments are *translated or fail to be translated* into state-level statutory and planning frameworks. Despite being home to some of the country's most ecologically sensitive and internationally significant natural systems, including extensive mangrove belts, tidal wetlands, creeks, and low-lying floodplains, the state continues to operate under a legal architecture that does not recognise these ecological assets as infrastructure. The Maharashtra Regional and Town Planning Act 1966, which remains the foundational statute governing planning and development, categorises such

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

natural systems under generic and outdated labels such as *"open spaces"* or *"no development zones."* These classifications treat them as passive land-use categories rather than as dynamic ecological systems that serve essential climate, hydrological, and biodiversity functions consistent with India's obligations under the Paris Agreement and the Convention on Biological Diversity. Because the statute does not define or mandate Green Infrastructure as a planning requirement, municipal authorities are neither compelled nor institutionally equipped to integrate wetlands, mangroves, floodplains, or riverine corridors into their planning decisions, resulting in fragmentation, unregulated encroachment, and incremental conversion of ecologically critical areas into real estate or infrastructure projects.

The absence of a statutory Green Infrastructure mandate has produced a regulatory vacuum that courts and tribunals have repeatedly been forced to fill. In Mangrove Foundation v State of Maharashtra (2018), the National Green Tribunal recognised mangroves as critical protective infrastructure after finding that state agencies had systematically failed to prevent illegal clearance. Similarly, in *Vanashakti v State of Maharashtra* (2020), the Tribunal had to intervene once again to halt further ecological degradation, highlighting the persistent institutional inability of planning bodies to reconcile development pressures with ecological obligations. Even before these cases, the Supreme Court's judgment in Bombay Environmental Action Group v State of Maharashtra (2006) had already exposed a pattern of administrative neglect, censuring state authorities for authorising large-scale destruction of mangroves despite their importance for coastal protection. These judicial interventions do not merely reflect isolated episodes of environmental harm they expose a systemic governance failure in which environmental protection is reactive, litigation-driven, and dependent on judicial will rather than statutory design. Further evidence of structural weakness emerges from official state audits. The Comptroller and Auditor General has repeatedly reported serious deficiencies in the identification and notification of wetlands, improper utilisation and diversion of compensatory afforestation funds, and the absence of ecological criteria in development permissions (CAG, 2013; CAG, 2017). These findings underscore that the state's administrative machinery lacks both the institutional capacity and the statutory tools to give effect to India's climate and biodiversity commitments. The cumulative picture is therefore one of entrenched misalignment: Maharashtra's planning apparatus continues to rely on mid-20th-century land-use categories that fail to recognise ecological assets as climate-resilient infrastructure, while courts attempt to retrofit Green Infrastructure principles into a system not designed to accommodate them. The result is a structural governance deficit in which national and international obligations remain abstract commitments, unable to penetrate the legal, institutional, and

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

developmental practices of the state and municipal authorities tasked with their implementation.

State of Kerala

Kerala offers an equally compelling and, in many ways, more urgent illustration of the divergence between India's international commitments to Green Infrastructure and the state-level mechanisms intended to operationalise them, particularly when viewed through the lens of climate-induced disasters. While India's obligations under the Paris Agreement, the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction, and the Sustainable Development Goals collectively affirm the importance of ecosystem-based adaptation, integrated watershed management, and conservation of natural buffers, these imperatives have not been meaningfully embedded within Kerala's statutory planning regime. Kerala's ecological landscape marked by an intricate network of wetlands, backwaters, river systems, estuaries, mangroves, and low-lying floodplains aligns directly with the types of natural infrastructure prioritised in these international frameworks. Yet Kerala's principal planning legislation does not treat these systems as infrastructural assets that require preservation, integration, or strategic planning. Instead, ecological systems remain peripheral to the statutory planning categories that guide the preparation of development plans by local bodies, revealing a legal blind spot with profound implications for climate resilience.

Although the enactment of the Kerala Conservation of Paddy Land and Wetland Act 2008 ostensibly reflects a legislative attempt to preserve ecologically sensitive landscapes, successive official audits demonstrate that the statute suffers from chronic implementation deficits. Reports by the Comptroller and Auditor General document widespread illegal conversions of wetlands, delayed or incomplete notifications, and systemic failures by local bodies to enforce restrictions or maintain updated registers (CAG, 2019). These findings suggest not merely administrative lapses but a deeper structural failure: a misalignment between the ecological importance accorded to wetlands in international commitments and the weak institutional mechanisms available at the local level to implement state legislation. This governance gap was rendered starkly visible during the catastrophic floods of 2018 and 2019, when the Post-Disaster Needs Assessment conducted by the Government of Kerala identified human-induced alterations such as encroachment of riverbanks, obstruction of drainage channels, and loss of low-lying wetlands as significant factors that intensified flood severity (Government of Kerala, 2018). The events underscored the consequences of neglecting Green Infrastructure not simply as environmental amenities but as critical climate-resilient systems whose

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

degradation directly translates into loss of life, livelihood, and public infrastructure.

Judicial interventions in the state have further exposed the limited institutional commitment to integrating Green Infrastructure into planning processes. In *In Re: Wetlands of Kerala* (Kerala High Court, 2019), the Court admonished municipal and panchayat authorities for their persistent failure to demarcate wetlands or enforce the protective mandates of the 2008 Act, noting that ecological deterioration had continued despite the existence of statutory protections. What emerges from these judicial and institutional assessments is a pattern of fragmentation and inertia: natural systems that are indispensable for climate resilience remain outside the operational vocabulary of development planning. Kerala's Town and Country Planning framework continues to prioritise built infrastructure, allowing ecologically significant landscapes to be treated as developable land unless otherwise notified an approach fundamentally at odds with India's international commitments that emphasise proactive integration of natural buffers into urban planning.

The resulting misalignment illustrates a broader structural problem in sub-national governance. Kerala, despite its ecological richness and heightened climate vulnerability, has not developed a planning framework capable of translating global and national Green Infrastructure commitments into binding obligations for local authorities. Ecological assets that should operate as the foundation of the state's climate resilience strategy remain unrecognised in statutory planning instruments, exposing the state to recurrent environmental disasters. This gap between ecological recognition at the international and national levels and the absence of corresponding operationalisation at the municipal scale reflects a systemic governance failure one in which Kerala's natural systems, though celebrated in policy discourse, remain largely invisible in the legal processes that shape the state's urban and regional development trajectory.

9. ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL IMPACTS OF GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE

Green infrastructure generates significant economic and social benefits. Economically, Green Infrastructure projects enhance property values due to green spaces' aesthetic and recreational value (Jain 2016). They also create employment opportunities in sectors such as landscaping, conservation, and environmental management (Roy 2019).

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

Socially, Green Infrastructure improves public health by reducing exposure to pollution and promoting physical activity (Sen 2020). Access to green spaces enhances mental well-being and fosters community interactions, contributing to better social cohesion (Desai 2017).

10. TECHNOLOGICAL INTEGRATION IN GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE IMPLEMENTATION

Technological advancements play a critical role in the implementation of Green Infrastructure. Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and remote sensing technologies facilitate the mapping and monitoring of Green Infrastructure projects, enabling better planning and decision-making (Bhatnagar 2019).

Internet of Things (IoT) and smart sensors enable real-time data collection on air quality, soil health, and water management in Green Infrastructure projects (Agarwal 2021). Artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning algorithms are increasingly being used to predict Green Infrastructure performance and assess environmental impact (Verma 2020).

11. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR STRENGTHENING GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE LAWS IN INDIA

To strengthen Green Infrastructure laws in India, a unified legal framework consolidating various Green Infrastructure regulations is essential (Choudhary 2021). Enhanced enforcement mechanisms, including stricter penalties for non-compliance and improved monitoring through technology, are necessary (Das 2020). Increased funding through public-private partnerships (PPPs) and dedicated green infrastructure funds would support the expansion of Green Infrastructure projects (Sharma 2018).

Public awareness and participation in Green Infrastructure planning should be promoted through educational campaigns and community engagement programs (Patel 2019). Integrating Green Infrastructure into urban development plans is essential to ensure sustainable and resilient cities (Mukherjee 2020).

12. CONCLUSION

Green infrastructure (GI) plays a crucial role in fostering sustainable urban development in India, offering a range of environmental, social, and economic

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

benefits. It encompasses a network of natural and semi-natural systems, including parks, wetlands, green roofs, permeable pavements, and urban forests, which collectively enhance biodiversity, mitigate the urban heat island effect, improve air quality, and promote climate resilience. However, despite the presence of legal frameworks designed to promote Green Infrastructure, its effective implementation remains hampered by several challenges.

One of the primary obstacles is weak enforcement of existing regulations. Although environmental protection laws and urban planning policies highlight the importance of incorporating green spaces, inconsistent enforcement and regulatory loopholes often lead to non-compliance. Additionally, insufficient funding and budgetary allocations hinder large-scale Green Infrastructure projects. With competing priorities in infrastructure development, green initiatives are frequently underfunded or overlooked.

Institutional fragmentation further complicates the situation. The lack of coordination between government agencies, urban planning authorities, and environmental departments results in disjointed efforts, limiting the effectiveness of Green Infrastructure policies. Moreover, the absence of clear guidelines and accountability mechanisms makes it difficult to monitor and evaluate the progress of green infrastructure initiatives.

To overcome these challenges, India can adopt global best practices by learning from countries that have successfully integrated Green Infrastructure into their urban development strategies. For example, cities like Singapore and Copenhagen have implemented innovative policies, such as incentivizing green building designs and establishing nature-based solutions to manage stormwater and enhance urban biodiversity.

Strengthening legal frameworks is also essential. This involves closing regulatory gaps, introducing stricter compliance measures, and ensuring that Green Infrastructure policies are embedded into urban planning regulations. Furthermore, promoting public participation is key to fostering a sense of ownership and ensuring the long-term success of Green Infrastructure projects. Raising awareness, involving local communities in decision-making, and encouraging corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives can significantly enhance public engagement and support.

By embracing a comprehensive and collaborative approach, India can enhance its green infrastructure policies and unlock the wide-ranging benefits of sustainable urban development. Improved air quality, increased green cover, better water management, and enhanced quality of life are just some of the

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

positive outcomes that a well-planned and effectively implemented Green Infrastructure strategy can deliver.

13. REFERENCES AND BIBLIOGRAPHY

AGARWAL, R., 2021. *Smart sensors in green infrastructure management*. Environmental Monitoring Journal, 15(4), pp. 224–239.

ALLAHABAD HIGH COURT, 2018. In Re: Wetlands of Uttar Pradesh, PIL No. 8785 of 2018 (India).

BHATNAGAR, M., 2019. Green Infrastructure*S and remote sensing for green infrastructure mapping*. Indian Journal of Environmental Science, 8(3), pp. 112–128.

BOSE, A., 2015. *Forest Rights Act and its implications on green infrastructure*. Journal of Environmental Policy, 12(2), pp. 67–82.

BOSE, P., 2015. *Forest Rights Act and livelihoods*. Journal of Forest Policy, 8(3), pp. 67–84.

CAG (Comptroller and Auditor General of India), 2013. Report of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India on Compensatory Afforestation Funds. New Delhi: Government of India.

CAG, 2017. Performance Audit on Conservation of Coastal Ecosystems in India. New Delhi: Government of India.

CAG, 2019. Performance Audit on Preparedness for Implementation of Wetlands (Conservation and Management) Rules 2010. New Delhi: Government of India.

CBD SECRETARIAT, 2010. Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011–2020 and the Aichi Biodiversity Targets. Montreal: Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity. Available at: https://www.cbd.int

CHAKRABORTY, P., 2021. *Community engagement in green infrastructure projects*. Urban Planning Review, 9(1), pp. 34–49.

CHANDRA, R., 2021. *The role of Air and Water Acts in green infrastructure preservation*. Indian Environmental Law Review, 17(2), pp. 148–164.

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

CHOUDHARY, N., 2021. *Legal frameworks for sustainable urban planning*. Journal of Urban Law, 10(3), pp. 98–114.

DAS, P., 2020. *Enforcement of environmental regulations in India*. Indian Journal of Environmental Law, 14(2), pp. 55–70.

DASGUPTA, S., 2020. *Green infrastructure and ecosystem services in India*. Environmental Policy Journal, 11(4), pp. 203–221.

DESAI, M., 2018. *Economic valuation of green infrastructure in urban India*. Journal of Environmental Economics, 12(1), pp. 78–93.

DESAI, R., 2017. *Green spaces and community well-being in Indian cities*. Social Science and Environment Review, 5(2), pp. 88–101.

DUTTA, R., 2020. *Integrating technology in Green Infrastructure projects*. Journal of Environmental Technology, 11(2), pp. 89–104.

EUROPEAN COMMISSION, 2013. *Building a green infrastructure for Europe*. Available at: https://ec.europa.eu [Accessed 23 March 2025].

GHOSH, T., 2019. *Legal challenges in implementing Green Infrastructure policies in India*. Indian Journal of Environmental Law, 6(4), pp. 122–138.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, 2008. *National Action Plan on Climate Change*. Available at: https://www.moef.gov.in [Accessed 23 March 2025].

GOVERNMENT OF KERALA, 2018. Post Disaster Needs Assessment: Floods and Landslides – Kerala 2018. Thiruvananthapuram: Government of Kerala. Available at: https://sdma.kerala.gov.in

GOYAL, V., 2020. *Biodiversity Act and green infrastructure*. Journal of Environmental Law, 12(3), pp. 130–147.

GUPTA, R., 2015. *Effectiveness of green belt initiatives in India*. Environmental Policy Journal, 7(1), pp. 77–95.

GUPTA, V., 2018. *Legal analysis of NGT's role in environmental protection*. Journal of Environmental Law and Policy, 12(2), pp. 141–160.

JAIN, M., 2020. *Mangrove conservation as green infrastructure in Mumbai*. Indian Journal of Environmental Science, 13(1), pp. 99–118.

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

JAIN, S., 2016. *Economic benefits of green infrastructure projects in India*. Journal of Sustainable Development, 9(2), pp. 45–61.

JOSHI, K., 2016. *Legal reforms in Green Infrastructure planning*. Journal of Urban Law, 10(2), pp. 156–171.

KAPOOR, S., 2018. *Urban Green Infrastructure and climate resilience*. Journal of Climate Policy, 9(2), pp. 120–139.

KERALA HIGH COURT, 2019. In Re: Wetlands of Kerala, W.P. (Civil) No. 23052 of 2018 (India).

KUMAR, P., 2016. *The Biodiversity Act and green infrastructure conservation*. Environmental Law Review, 15(1), pp. 67–83.

MEHTA, V., 2018. *The impact of Compensatory Afforestation Fund Act*. Journal of Indian Forest Policy, 10(3), pp. 133–151.

MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT, FOREST AND CLIMATE CHANGE, 2014. *National Forest Policy*. Available at: https://www.moefcc.gov.in [Accessed 23 March 2025].

MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT, FOREST AND CLIMATE CHANGE, 2019. *State of Environment Report 2019*. Available at: https://www.moefcc.gov.in [Accessed 23 March 2025].

MISHRA, R., 2016. *Challenges in monitoring and enforcing Green Infrastructure laws*. Indian Journal of Environmental Policy, 8(1), pp. 102–118.

MOEFCC (Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change), 2008. National Action Plan on Climate Change. New Delhi: Government of India. Available at: https://moef.gov.in

MOEFCC, 2014. Addendum to the National Biodiversity Action Plan 2008. New Delhi: Government of India. Available at: https://moef.gov.in

MOHUA (Ministry of Housing and Urban Affairs), 2014. Urban and Regional Development Plans Formulation and Implementation (URDPFI) Guidelines. New Delhi: Government of India. Available at: https://mohua.gov.in

MUKHERJEE, T., 2021. *Public–private partnerships in green infrastructure*. Journal of Environmental Law and Development, 11(3), pp. 210–228.

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

NARAYAN, A., 2017. *Public participation in green infrastructure projects*. Journal of Environmental Policy, 9(4), pp. 88–105.

NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL (Western Zone), 2018. Mangrove Foundation v State of Maharashtra, Application No. 24/2017 (NGT – India).

NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL (Western Zone), 2020. Vanashakti v State of Maharashtra, Application No. 20/2017 (NGT – India).

PANDEY, R., 2019. *Impact assessment of Green Infrastructure laws on air quality*. Journal of Environmental Monitoring, 14(2), pp. 172–188.

PATEL, S., 2019. *Legal mechanisms for public involvement in Green Infrastructure planning*. Indian Journal of Public Policy, 7(3), pp. 190–205.

PATEL, V., 2020. *Legal challenges in Green Infrastructure implementation in India*. Journal of Environmental Law, 13(1), pp. 115–132.

PRAKASH, R., 2019. *Legal frameworks for stormwater management*. Journal of Water Policy, 13(1), pp. 99–118.

RAMESH, K., 2020. *Stormwater management through Green Infrastructure in Chennai*. Journal of Water Management, 10(2), pp. 88–103.

RAO, V., 2017. *The Environment Protection Act and its implications for Green Infrastructure*. Indian Journal of Environmental Law, 12(3), pp. 64–79.

REDDY, S., 2017. *Compensatory Afforestation Fund Act and green infrastructure*. Journal of Forestry Policy, 11(2), pp. 90–109.

ROY, A., 2019. *Employment opportunities in Green Infrastructure projects in India*. Journal of Green Economics, 10(1), pp. 78–95.

ROY, D., 2019. *Legal gaps in India's Green Infrastructure framework*. Journal of Environmental Policy, 9(4), pp. 123–139.

SAHU, R., 2019. *The role of the Environment Protection Act in Green Infrastructure development*. Journal of Environmental Law, 13(4), pp. 145–161.

SEN, A., 2020. *Health benefits of green infrastructure*. Journal of Environmental Health, 14(2), pp. 110–126.

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

SHARMA, A., 2020. *Role of NGT in promoting Green Infrastructure in India*. Indian Journal of Environmental Law, 15(3), pp. 205–223.

SHARMA, L., 2021. *Legal implications of Green Infrastructure in urban India*. Journal of Urban Environmental Law, 15(1), pp. 104–121.

SHARMA, P., 2018. *Public–private partnerships in Green Infrastructure projects*. Journal of Infrastructure Development, 11(2), pp. 98–115.

SHARMA, R., 2021. *Legal challenges in NGT's jurisdiction*. Indian Journal of Environmental Law, 14(1), pp. 111–128.

SHETTY, P., 2018. *Mangrove conservation and coastal Green Infrastructure*. Journal of Environmental Science, 9(3), pp. 130–147.

SINGH, N., 2018. *Forest Conservation Act and its role in Green Infrastructure*. Indian Journal of Forestry Law, 10(4), pp. 112–128.

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA, 2006. Bombay Environmental Action Group v State of Maharashtra, Civil Appeal No. 1132 of 2006 (India).

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA, 2017. M. K. Balakrishnan v Union of India, Writ Petition (Civil) No. 230 of 2001 (India).

TAN, J., 2020. *Singapore's Skyrise Greenery Incentive Scheme*. Journal of Urban Planning, 5(2), pp. 45–62.

UNDRR (United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction), 2015. Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015–2030. Geneva: UNDRR. Available at: https://www.undrr.org

UNFCCC, 2016. India's Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) – Working Towards Climate Justice. Bonn: United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Available at: https://unfccc.int

UNITED NATIONS, 2015. Paris Agreement. Paris: United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Available at: https://unfccc.int

UNITED NATIONS, 2015. Transforming Our World: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. New York: United Nations. Available at: https://sdgs.un.org

ISSN: 1989-5666; NIPO: 152-24-001-9; DOI: https://doi.org/10.56398/ajacieda.00457

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, 2019. *Green Infrastructure Grants Program*. Available at: https://www.epa.gov [Accessed 23 March 2025].

VERMA, A., 2020. *Technological advancements in Green Infrastructure projects*. Journal of Smart Infrastructure, 8(1), pp. 66–82.

VERMA, P., 2017. *Social benefits of green infrastructure*. Journal of Social Policy, 11(3), pp. 101–119.